



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,607	12/14/2001	Tomohiro Nakata	Q67227	2036
75	90 03/24/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC		EXAMINER		
Washington, DO	nia Avenue, N.W. C 20037-3213		JARRETT, RYAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ツ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/014,607	NAKATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan A. Jarrett	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/1	<u>14/01</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims A) M. Claim(s), 1.15 is/are pending in the application						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 10-15 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioapplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/014,607

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.

Claims 1-9, drawn to a material requirement system, classified in class I.

700, subclass 106.

II. Claims 10-15, drawn to a web winding system, classified in class 700,

subclass 126.

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Tracy Johnson on 3/17/02 a provisional 3.

election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 10-15.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 1-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b),

as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/014,607

Art Unit: 2125

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 6. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 7. Regarding claim 10 and claim 13, the following limitations have not been enabled in the specification:

holding, as preceding address information, said address information of one of said products which has been supplied immediately before to said feed line by said transfer means; and

selecting another one of said products to which said address information held in a predetermined relationship to said preceding address information is added, and supplying the other one of the selected products to said feed line with said transfer means;

and, an

address information holding means for holding address information in said intermediate products before becoming said products;

preceding address information holding means for holding, as preceding address information, said address information of one of said products which has been supplied immediately before to said feed line by said transfer means;

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/014,607

Art Unit: 2125

product selecting means for selecting another one of said products to which said address information held in a predetermined relationship to said preceding address information is added; and

means for supplying the other one of the products selected by said product selecting means from said feed means to said feed line.

- 8. Claims 11, 12, 14, and 15 depend from claims 10 and 13 and therefore incorporate the same deficiencies.
- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 10, it is unclear if the address information is being added to the "products" or the "intermediate products".

Regarding claim 10 and claim 13, it is unclear what is meant by "holding address information as preceding address information".

Regarding claim 10 line 13, and claim 13 line 16, it is unclear what "before" is referring to.

Regarding claim 10 and claim 13, it is unclear how "another one of said products" is selected, and how this is related to the "address information".

Regarding claim 10 and claim 13, it is unclear what is meant by "predetermined relationship".

Art Unit: 2125

11. Claims 11, 12, 14, and 15 depend from claims 10 and 13 and therefore incorporate the same deficiencies.

Claim Objections

12. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation "steps" in line 3 of claim 13 should be changed since the claim recites an apparatus, not a method. Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

13. The disclosure pertaining to the language of claims 10-15, particularly the last two paragraphs of claim 10 and the last four paragraphs of claim 13, is incomprehensible to the extent that the examiner has been unable to make a reasonable search of the prior art.

Examiner suggests incorporating language into the claims that relate to the actual "film-making" process that is described in the specification. For example, replacing "products" and "intermediate products" with "film", "core", etc. would potentially make the claims more definite. Additionally, the 35 U.S.C. 112 problems cited above need to be addressed.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/014,607

Art Unit: 2125

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

raj March 20, 2003 LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100